

Chapter 10: Comprehensive Action Lists

Introduction to Comprehensive lists

Chapters 10 through 15 provide the comprehensive action lists for Chinook conservation in the WRIA 8 watershed. These actions were developed during 2003 and 2004 during a series of meetings with local experts on land use, subareas of the watershed and public outreach and education. For more information on how the recommendations were developed, see Chapter 5 and Appendix D.

Actions are listed by Chinook population area and within each population by land use, site specific, then public outreach.

Caveats related to land use actions summarized in this chapter:

- Land use actions are voluntary – jurisdictions can choose whether or not to apply them
- Additional analyses of land use actions by criteria, and suggested references about low impact development, critical areas and other land use topics are in Appendix D, Parts 5 and 6.

Caveats related to site specific habitat protection and restoration projects summarized in this chapter:

- Lists of site-specific habitat protection and restoration projects are given two ways. First the site-specific projects are listed in priority order for each subarea and then they are listed geographically, reach by reach from downstream to upstream.
- Habitat *protection* projects are listed, then *restoration* projects for each subarea.
- For protection projects, prioritization is based also on whether or not a project has been identified as a priority under existing habitat protection programs, e.g., Waterways or Cedar River Legacy. Existing priorities are shaded in prioritized lists.
- Please note that most of the potential site specific habitat protection and restoration projects described in this chapter still need feasibility analysis and detailed design work before implementation can begin.
- For potential habitat restoration projects calling for addition of large woody debris, particularly in the Cedar and Sammamish Rivers, placement of the wood should be done in a way that minimizes any risk to river users such as boaters and swimmers. Placement of large woody debris should be planned and supervised by a licensed engineer. The location of large woody debris should be chosen so that river users are not inadvertently swept into the wood. Consultation with river user groups will bring insight into the affect of water and obstacles on river users.

The comprehensive lists are in the following order:

Cedar River Population (Chapter 10)

- Land use actions, Tier 1 mainstem: Lower and Middle Cedar River
- Land use actions, Tier 1 migratory: Southern Lake Washington
- Land use actions, Tier 2 tributaries: Taylor, Peterson, Rock creeks, Walsh Lake Diversion
- Site specific projects, Tier 1 mainstem: Lower and Middle Cedar River (*note that for all site specific project lists, there is a prioritized list, then a list in order by geography*)
- Site specific projects, Tier 1 migratory: Lake Washington
- Site specific projects, Tier 2 mainstem: Upper Cedar River

- Site specific projects, Tier 2 tributaries: Taylor, Peterson, Rock Creeks, Walsh Lake Diversion
- Public outreach actions, Tier 1 and Tier 2, Lower and Middle Cedar River and tributaries
- Public outreach actions, Tier 1 migratory: Lake Washington

North Lake Washington Population (Chapter 11)

- Land use actions, Tier 1: Bear, Cottage Lake/Cold Creeks
- Land use actions, Tier 1 migratory: Sammamish River
- Land use actions, Tier 1 migratory: Northern Lake Washington
- Land use actions, Tier 2 tributaries: North, Little Bear, Evans creeks
- Land use actions, Tier 2 tributaries: Kelsey Creek
- Site specific projects, Tier 1: Lower Bear Creek, Upper Bear Creek, Cottage/Cold Creeks *(note that for all site specific project lists, there is a prioritized list, then a list in order by geography)*
- Site specific projects, Tier 1 migratory: Sammamish River
- Site specific projects, Tier 2: North Creek, Little Bear Creek, Evans Creek and Kelsey Creek
- Public outreach actions, Tier 1 and 2 North Lake Washington
- Public outreach actions, Tier 1 migratory: Sammamish River

Issaquah (Chapter 12)

- Land use actions, Tier 1: Lower, Middle, East Fork, North Fork Issaquah Creek; Carey and Holder Creeks; Fifteen Mile Creek
- Land use actions, Tier 1 migratory: Lake Sammamish
- Site specific projects, Tier 1: Lower Issaquah Creek, Middle Issaquah Creek, Carey and Holder Creeks, Fifteen Mile Creek, East Fork, North Fork *(note that for all site specific project lists, there is a prioritized list, then a list in order by geography)*
- Site specific projects, Tier 1 migratory: Lake Sammamish
- Site specific projects, Tier 2: North Creek, Little Bear Creek, Evans Creek and Kelsey Creek
- Public outreach actions, Tier 1: Lower, Middle, East Fork, North Fork Issaquah Creek; Carey and Holder Creeks; Fifteen Mile Creek
- Public outreach actions, Tier 1 migratory: Lake Sammamish

Migratory and Rearing Corridors (used by all three populations) – Chapter 13

- Land use actions, Tier 1: nearshore
- Site specific projects, Tier 1: Ship Canal/Locks
- Site specific projects, Tier 1: nearshore
- Public outreach actions, Tier 1: Ship Canal/Locks
- Public outreach actions, Tier 1: nearshore

Tier 3 subareas (Chapter 14)

Land use and public outreach actions

Addendum to comprehensive lists (Chapter 15)

Actions that require further analysis, added during public review